International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net
Volume 13, Issue 9, 2020

The Comprehensive Accounting Information under the IAS1 and its Reflection of Sustainable Development

Mohanad Abdulrahman Salman[a], [a]Baghdad College of Economic Sciences University, Iraq, Email: [a]Mohanedabd90@yahoo.com

This study examines the role of international accounting standards (IAS 1) and how they present comprehensive information about the reporting standards of accounting and finance quality in various countries. However, the study focused on the qualitative characteristics of accounting reporting structures and financial reporting and its influence on the sustainable development of the economy. Timeliness of the financial information regression results showed that returns on equity and return on assets are positive and significantly correlated with stock returns following the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) by business. However, the findings indicate that the financial reporting of various countries was valued as relevant and more timely following the adoption of the accounting information system and IAS 1. The significant positive correlation between accounting measures under the procedures of IAS 1 represents some basic facts concerning the amendments which confirms that investors can predict the future market value of particular investments. The investor receives essential information simply by identifying the price information on time and it was found that this offered more value related to the accounting information.

Key words: *Accounting information, IFRS, Sustainable development.*

Introduction

With the improvement and development of the capital market, standards quality, and accounting information quality are increasingly correlated to each other. Therefore, international accounting standards could monitor the generation of quality accounting information from the source. The study herein begins from the connotation of accounting standard quality in addition accounting information that important to them. The study analyses the status quo of international standards and sustainable development programs of accounting and establishes models for the aim of providing inspiration and reference for various countries in order to enhance accounting standards quality (Adeyemi, 2005). Accounting information quality holds a significant position in most countries and reflects international developments. The major reason for this is that accounting standards can provide a decision-making foundation for the internal management and external interests of organisations. However, the accounting standard quality of current enterprises faces difficulties and various issues (Agyei-Mensah, 2013). Certain cases not only impose negative effects on the organisation's image but can further deprive investors of trust in the enterprises concerned. Against this backdrop, various countries necessitate more rigorous accounting standards in the hope of optimising and enhancing the efficiency of accounting standards and the experiences of their employees. Nevertheless, choosing accounting standard quality as a starting point doesn't develop the contemporary status of it as the issue is whether organisations' have internal control systems that are useful and effective. Therefore, this study concentrates on accounting information and the international accounting standards system for comprehensive development and recommends measures to optimise the applications of practices' standard quality.

An accounting standard quality presents a decision-making basis for an organisation's administrators and owners, helping companies carry out production for the next phase, and reducing the organisation's error rate of decision-making in financial issues. In addition, an up-to-date analysis of organisational accounting standards can help increase market shares, enhance operational efficiency, optimise resource allocation and efficiency of capital. Globally, an accounting standard is a comprehensive evaluation and objective reflection of the organisation's business returns and management condition (Alashi & Dumlu, 2015). With the expansion of economic globalisation in the coming the years, the need for large-scale public facilities and financing projects rises dramatically. Disclosure of accounting standards becomes critical for the support of the construction of organisations, for analysing an organisation's market trend, for deciding the organisation's development direction, and for presenting valuable standards for market risk analysis. Accordingly, quality international accounting standards (IAS) are vital for organisational improvement and hence for the sustainable development of a country as well. Internal controls can restrict accounting information quality to some extent in addition to ensuring the functional properties of accounting information quality. In general, restraint can be located in two perspectives. Firstly, standards offer supervision on accounting information quality to ensure that the monitoring of accounting information quality is rational, legal, and presented accurately to decision-makers. Secondly, accounting applications can timely and appropriately summarise and analyse the records of accounting standard quality in order to spot problems if there are any. Additionally, guarantees and estimations of the standard of accounting standard quality are essentially related to internal control systems. An internal control environment can improve the efficiency of internal controls which directly impacts accounting standard quality.

Therefore, an International Accounting Standard (IAS) is vital for an organisation's accounting standard quality. Operability, significance, cost effectiveness, and a combination of accounting information and theory is the main designing principle of standards control evaluation indexes. First, operability means an internal control evaluation system should be controlled internationally and supported by external governance so that the internal governance of companies can be truly reflected. However, significance and cost effectiveness indicate that we should pay extraordinary attention to noteworthy problems inside companies while operating internal control indicator evaluation. When determining indicators of accounting standard quality, several scholars usually investigate one particular feature of accounting information quality such as soundness, timeliness, or transparency (Barth & Clinch, 2009). However, the study shows that this research method can't comprehensively reveal features of the accounting information according to international accounting standards (IAS 1). Earning quality is the facet utilised most in studying the relationship between accounting standards quality and practical applications. Therefore, this study adopts earning quality which is the most comprehensive study indicator and concentrates on the influence of internal control on the organisation's accounting earning quality.

Conceptual Framework for Accounting Standards

There were two fundamental bookkeeping models used in Europe: US GAAP (General Accepted Accounting Principles) and International Accounting Standards (IAS). Since the standard bookkeeping framework was initially affected by the German and French gauges and given to several land areas inside that territory, the decision fell to the IAS, and later the IFRS. However, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) has designed a bookkeeping applied system so as to accommodate the amended IAS 1 assessments that are reflected in the budget summaries of organisations. Since the emergence of the International Accounting Standards (IAS) in 1973, the board has presented reference points and essential guidance for various issuers of local accounting standards, preparers, and analysts of financial reports. This is aimed at producing support for capital market efficiency as a way of relieving the impact of information asymmetry on value relevance (Barth & Clinch, 2009). Consequently, standardising the basic principles and methods of financial reporting that serve as a guide for producing reliable and relevant accounting information is a vital regulatory framework. This is because stock market participants require high-quality accounting information to increase their confidence in both global and local stock markets. Adoption of International Accounting Standards (IAS 1) could reduce the information asymmetry, promote informational market efficiency, and reduce potential agency cost which could emanate from non-compliance with mandatory requirements of the standards, in addition to improving stakeholder interest

(Holthausen & Watts, 2001). The study, therefore, suggests that listed companies should keep themselves updated regularly with respect to enhanced knowledge of IFRS demands that could occur in an enhanced compliance environment particularly in the non-financial industry category. Stock market regulators are additionally encouraged to structure out all-inclusive measures which engender enhanced compliance with IFRS through the listed companies. The study additionally included organisations which pursue the International Accounting Standards (IASs) for issues excluded in the guidelines. The authority stays suitable for recorded organisations and for non-recorded organisations in consideration of arrangements and matters excluded in the CML, which for the most part are the exposure matters required in the report of the directorate. The world has adopted and adjusted to the IASs in several ways. Over time, these (diverse) local accounting standards were designated with disclosure demands and frail updates due to globalisation and the increasing complexity of financial reporting requirements. Therefore, the local Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAPs) were also found to fail to support global capital market efficiency in terms of cross-border comparison particularly in an era of global business integration. So, qualitative characteristics of accounting information that issued under divergent accounting standards are undermined by becoming unnecessary, unreliable and incomparable, principally to foreign investors. Whereas, the quality of accounting standards locates the quality of the accounting information prepared and issued under it. Previous research has determined that diverse accounting policies suggest various relevance of the consequential accounting information for investment decision-making. With respect to the value of the importance of accounting information before the emergence of IFRS in several countries, the findings from various extant empirical studies are confounding. The International Accounting Standards (IAS) guideline speaks to the greatest change in the European monetary system and will make the European Union the world's first region to have one basic form of bookkeeping measures. This important advance towards a worldwide combination of bookkeeping guidelines will increase the straightforwardness of organisational data estimations and provide a measure in the European Union's drive for solitary European capital markets.

Accounting Standards & Accounting Performance Quality

Adoption of an International Accounting Standard (IAS 1) could improve accounting quality because the standards offer principle-based accounting rules that are potentially more complicated to circumvent, leading to a reduction in managerial discretions. It also encourages the utilisation of fair value accounting measurements which reflect underlying economics over other local standards. A few sources, mainly from the books of accounting, were collected to have more data preceding the meeting. After the gathering, a lot of time must be employed in order to collate the composed and recorded information. Data concerning worldwide bookkeeping and International standards for financial reporting (IFRS) was found in different auxiliary sources (Easton & Sommers, 2003). However, the data from the meetings was partitioned in the case depending on whether it was a reviewer or an organisation's agent. The inspectors presented foundational information on bookkeeping and reviewing, and thus added to the organisation of reference, while the agents reveal their own involvement in the observational studies. When the collection of the information was complete, it was then examined. With the assistance of the investigations, correlations between the observational examinations and the casing of references were looked for. As the subject of IFRS usage is complex and huge, however, the amount of the data collected was substantial (Healy & Wahlen, 1999). When arranging the examination, a great deal of attention has been paid to determine the most noteworthy and important issues that could best answer the reasons for that investigation.

With respect to the starting point of IAS 1, the matters discussed so far reveal some of the characteristics of the IAS 1 in its application under the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). However, there were two bookkeeping models observed in the late seventeenth century. The principal structure, which was exhibited in mainland Europe in 1673, required reasonable esteem proclamations of individual organisations' budgetary positions to shield countries' economies from potential dangers. Bookkeeping guidelines had been improved by Germany in which the understandable qualities were replaced with verifiable expenses and deteriorations since 1870 (Holthausen & Watts, 2001). The structure was then utilised to assess the tax collection of business substances in the early part of the twentieth century. To put it plainly, the key focal point of that bookkeeping model was to fix connections between governments and organisations, to do charge estimates and keep economies stable. Since the start of the contemporary transformation, capital markets progressively formulated across the world, prompting development and expansion in the requirement of data among financial specialists. Along these lines, the Anglo-Saxon model was created. It is important that there were no duty purposes illustrated in this framework and speculators were acknowledged as the fundamental target sample using the model as opposed to governments. The IAS/IFRS was made conditional on the second money related exposing structure with the emphasis on capital markets. However, the accompanying figure speaks to the two basic bookkeeping models and IFRS/IAS of the IASB.

The spread of that accounting framework has been arranged in part by the 2005 announcement by the EU that everything that is recorded is required to have used IFRS/ IAS to form a solitary money related market in the United Kingdom (Kaaya, 2015). Undoubtedly, this announcement has prompted an exceptional enthusiasm for America, which is considered one of the world greatest economies, in IFRS/IAS.

Up until now, Iraq has used America's General Accepted Accounting Principles (US GAAP), a rundown of money associated revealing measures which were set up by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) in order to control the institutions' budget reports and secure its economy. Unmistakably, the aims of IAS/IFRS and US GAAP are broadly comparable (Liu & Ohlson, 2000). Furthermore, the utilisation of worldwide budgetary announcing models could occur as unique points of interest in the American economy. Therefore, it would profit the US to coordinate or receive with IFRS/ IAS (The Economist 2012). Nevertheless, as can be seen from the beginning and the progression of the IASB and IFRS/IAS across a hundred nations, there has been an exhaustive assimilation of IFRS/ IAS.

As per International Accounting Standard (IAS 1), budget reports could be recognised as central purposes of budgetary reports. Particularly, they speak to basic economically correlated data, including the monetary position, as well as money streams and budgetary execution, of an association with the aim that individuals could experience those announcements in order to identify the association they are keen on. Other than that, these devices illustrate the adequacy of assets in an appropriate time period. As for the target, financial summaries are used to contrast past financial summaries just as with various associations. In view of these observations, clients could assume the fate of the association and later, settle on their choices.

Amendment (REVISED) According to the IAS 1

International Accounting Standard (IAS 1) sets out the general requirements for the introduction of financial summaries, and contains rules for their structure, and requirements for their content. IAS 1 was developed as a feature of the Financial Statement Presentation venture (Madawaki, 2012), with the purpose of improving the capability of speculators, banks, and other financial explanation clients to:

a) Comprehend a substance's present and past financial position (Madawaki, 2012).
b) Comprehend the past working exercises and financing that caused an element's economic position to change.
c) Use that monetary data (alongside data from various other sources).

IAS 1 (Amended) includes the accompanying principle changes to present IFRS, which are clarified immediately in sections, as shown below:

a) Any proprietor changes in value are to be presented separately from the non-owners change in value in a declaration of changes in value (Amendment A).
b) Any non-proprietor changes in value are to be presented in a few articulations of total pay (Amendment B).
c) The following non-obligatory titles for the fundamental fiscal summaries are presented: an explanation of changes in value, the proclamation of income, statement of far-reaching pay, and statement of financial position (Amendment C).

d) Organisations are asked to present an announcement of the monetary position toward the start of the comparing time frame where repetitions have appeared (Amendment D).
e) Organisations are asked to unveil renaming modifications (Amendment E).
f) Organisations are required to unveil salary charges (Amendment F).
g) Organisations are asked to exhibit profits and associated per-share sums on the substance of the announcement of variations in value or in the notes (Amendment G).

Accounting Standards and its Interpretation

Like IAS, financial summaries provide data with respect to financial positions, which assists customers in settling on their monetary choices (Scott, 2015). Financial reports are needed to exhibit all six sorts of monetary data, as referenced previously. Also, to accomplish a reasonable introduction, extra exposures are needed. Regarding the necessities of arrangements and introduction, financial reports ought to be considered reasonably with respect to monetary positions, exhibitions, and money streams. However, there are multiple supplemental attributes that appeared under this standard, including bookkeeping collections, materiality, reliable introduction and conglomeration, balancing, similar data and announcing periods (Flayyih, Ali & Mohammed, 2018; Mohammed, Flayyih, Mohammed & Abbood, 2019).

How Does Accounting Information Reflect Sustainable Development?

Sustainable development, with respect to accounting information (Scott, 2015),may be described in some economic, social, environmental, and political perspectives as infiltrating accounting standards and information. However, some fundamental ideas are as follows:

- Regarding developing markets, there is more severity of accounting information asymmetry than developed markets or global sustainable economies.
- Appearance of IFRS accounting standards (IAS's) to replace local GAAPs is expected to ameliorate this situation if the former is more qualitative and informative than the latter to obtain more sustainability for future projects.

Methodology

The International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) corrected the announcement again in 2011, which including the necessity that things in other far-reaching pay be assembled reliant on their potential re-classifiability to misfortune and benefit, among several different changes. As a valuable resource of listed companies, therefore, accounting standards (IAS 1) can present a decision-making basis for organisations' administrators with its accounting or bookkeeping quality determining the effectiveness of the decision-making. This study investigates the relevance between the organisation's internal standards and accounting information, which bears importance in terms of both practice and theory.

Theoretically speaking, this research investigates the roles of IAS 1 on influencing accounting information standards quality by practical control accounting revealing factor indicators, builds related regression functions, and analyses their relationships quantitatively.

It expands the perspective of empirical analysis and presents a technical basis for theoretical analysis. In terms of practical significance, the accounting standards fraud has had a seriously negative impact on the organisation's image in addition to disturbing domestic market order (Carmona & Trombetta, 2008). Accordingly, it is high time that the subject of accounting information quality is studied. This research starts from the status quo of organisations. However, IAS 1 implements all widely valuable spending synopses which are organised according to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs).

International Accounting Standard (IAS 1) was implemented in 2007 in order to show the change in terminology in accounting standards. Table 1 reflects this change.

Table 1: This represents the amended terminologies of the International Accounting Standard (IAS 1) and shows the change that happened after implementing IAS 1.

Un-Amended Terminology before IAS 1	**Amended Terminology After IAS 1**
Balance sheet	Financial Position statement
Cash flow Statement	Comprehensive Statement of cash flow
Income Statement	Income Comprehensive statement

Results

There is fiscal (quantitative) and qualitative (non-fiscal) information which is shown in the financial statements of the organisation and its notes, reflecting the dynamics and structure of the company’s fortune, financial position, and results. This can inform different economic decision-making functions that are characterised as accounting information (AI). The scope of the research was extended to firm-specific attributes such as industry category and firm size. This step becomes essential because of the recognised role of these attributes on a firm’s level of compliance with IFRS as well as accounting information produced through the accounting standards that are adduced to impound impact on the value relevance of the accounting data. Additionally, even though there are a set of various factors that determine properties of accounting numbers, as emphasised by those who argue that constraint on managers’ fiscal reporting choices is one out of these various factors, the focus of this study is on the constraint that could be placed on managers’ financial reporting choices by IFRS principle-based revelation requirements.

However, this function is pivotally provided by each entity's idiosyncratic (certified) fiscal and non-fiscal information issued to the market periodically, and information or other macro-economic factors. This assumes the possible effect of any variation in the fundamentals that are recognised in the preparation of this accounting information on the ability of the accounting data to impact the market share values utilising IAS 1 (Wells, 2011). Furthermore, the research shows evidence that moving from GAAP to IFRS (IAS) has decreased the information gap between investors and managers, and the improved value relevance of earnings and stock markets' capability to anticipate future earnings. Nevertheless, these mixed findings under IFRS offer a basis for providing contents of fiscal statement requests for more empirical insights into the value relevance of ensuing accounting information, particularly in the parts of the world where such research appears to have been recognised. Therefore, this illustrates the first cardinal concern that propelled this research as revealed by the specific objectives of the research.

Conclusion

Globalisation has considerably accelerated the pace of progression in the overall economy, including bookkeeping2014). The goal of regulating accounting information (AI) is to determine that users of fiscal statements receive the minimum information that will allow them to make significant decisions and ensure similar accounting treatments for relevant items among entities. The fiscal reporting system was guided through a set of rules and principles otherwise related to a Statement of Accounting Standards (SASs) . More explicitly, IFRS /IAS has announced another worldwide record-keeping standard framework. Certainly, many countries set up their own record-keeping standards, as per IAS in 2001; be that as it may, a lot of the guidelines' methodology is still far from IAS. This is because the two accounting standards require different accounting methods, policies and fundamentals to be observed while providing accounting information issued under each of them. Not only that, but firm-specific factors are also assumed to be playing a certain role in the estimated model especially in operating influence over the correlation between stock market values and accounting information. Hence, existing literature has shown a possible relationship between market and accounting information. Furthermore, every country's government has been reluctant to fully receive IAS/IFRS. Along those lines, this study intends to help the method creators to understand the present in association with IAS 1. However, there are four principal parts to this proposal: the presentation, the portrayal of IAS 1, the depiction of IAS; the primary contrasts between IAS, the revelation of points of interest, drawbacks, and challenges of the selection and the experts' viewpoints and perspective towards such appropriation; and the basic discoveries of the exploration. With respect to the legality, IAS/IFRS selection has been a standout among the most concerning issues in several economies lately. The creator analyses contrasts between IAS concerning subjective qualities and bookkeeping guidelines. These correlations rely on the yearly reports and financial summaries from 2013, which are the most recent forms. Also, the overview was designed to obtain existing points of view towards the reception of IAS 1. Furthermore, the examination is simply founded on many bookkeeping gauges and subjective qualities. In this way, in a situation where the exploration test and the embodiment of bookkeeping standards are greater, the result may be somewhat unique and unprecedented. Nevertheless, perceived contrasts between IAS and IFRS are compared with the structure of the two IFRS and IAS; therefore, the unwavering legitimacy and quality are still guaranteed. This further recommends issuance of various accounting information particularly in the world's stock markets where compliance and adoption with IFRS demands has, since 2012, become compulsory. Accounting information (AI) is issued to the stock market by way of local accounting standards. Nevertheless, this means that investors have been starved of optimum economic result-oriented accounting data within local GAAPs.

REFERENCES

Adeyemi, S. B. (2005). The impact of accounting standards on financial reporting. Doctoral Thesis, Department of Accounting, University of Lagos,

Agyei-Mensah, B. K. (2013). Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) in Ghana and the quality of financial statement disclosures. International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 269-286.

Alashi, M., & Dumlu, T. (2015). Relevancy of accounting information under IFRS at Borsa Istanbul (BIST) for manufacturing firms. Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 152-163.

Barth, M. E., & Clinch, G. (2009). Scale effects in capital markets-based accounting research. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, Vol. 36, No. 3-4, pp. 253-288.

Carmona, S., & Trombetta, M. (2008). On the global acceptance of IAS/IFRS accounting standards: The logic and implications of the principle based system. Journal of Account Public Policy, Vol. 27, No. 6, pp. 455-461.

Chiha, S. E., Trabelsi, H., & Hamaza, N. S. (2013). The effect of IFRS on earnings quality in a European stock market: Evidence from France. Interdisciplinary Journal of Research in Business, Vol. 2, No. 12, pp. 35-47.

Easton, P. D., & Sommers, G. A. (2003). Scale and the Scale Effect in Market-based Accounting Research. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, Vol. 30, No. 1-2, pp. 25-56.

Flayyih, H. H., Ali, S. I., & Mohammed, Y. N. (2018). The Effect of Integration of Coroprate Governance Mechanisms and Audit Quality in Earning Management: An Empirical Analysis of Listed Banks in Iraqi Stock Exchange. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, Vol. 7, No. 4.25, pp. 337-344.

Healy, P., & Wahlen, J. (1999). A review of the earnings management literature and its implications for standard setting and standards. Accounting Horizons, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 365–383.

Holthausen, R. W., & Watts, R. L. (2001). The relevance of the value-relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting. Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 31, No. 1-3, pp. 3-71.

Kaaya, I. D. (2015). The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and value relevance: A review of empirical evidence. Journal of Finance and Accounting, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 37-46.

Liu, J., & Ohlson, J. A. (2000). The Feltham-Ohlson (1995) model: Empirical implications. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 321-331.

Madawaki, A. (2012). Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards in Developing Countries: International Journal of Business and Management, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 152.

Mohammed, B. H., Flayyih, H. H., Mohammed, Y. N., & Abbood, H. Q. (2019). The effect of audit committee characteristics and firm financial performance: An empirical study of listed companies in Iraq stock exchange. Journal of Engineering and Applied Science, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 4919-4926.

Scott, W. R. (2015). Financial Accounting Theory. Seventh Edition. Pearson Canada.

Wells, M. J. (2011). Framework-based Approach to Teaching Principle-based Accounting Standards. Accounting Education, Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 303-316.

Zeghal, D., & Mhedhbi, K. (2006). An Analysis of the Factors Affecting the Adoption of International Accounting Standards by Developing Countries. The International Journal of Accounting, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 373-386.